## CIVIL DOCKET

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jury demand date:

D. C. Form No. 106A Rev.

J.S. 6 mailed 8-1-69

Action arose at:

Basis of Action: Breach of accounting and

Tulsa

Marshal

Docket fee

Witness fees

Depositions

TITLE OF CASE			ATTORNEYS						
TULSA STEEL DISTRIBUTING COMPANY, INC. a corporation  For plaintiff: Charles A. Whitebook 415 Wright Building Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103									
vs									
GULF STEEL CORPORATION	, a corporati	on							
	For defendant:  Gable, Gotwals, Hays, Rubin & Fox 2010 Fourth Natl Bank Bldg Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119								
STATISTICAL RECORD	COSTS		DATE	NAME OR RECEIPT NO.	REC. DISB.		SB.		
J.S. 5 mailed 5-1-69	Clerk		1969 4-17- 4-24	Gable C/D No. 46	15	00	15	00	

DATE	PROCEEDINGS				
4-17-69	Petition for Removal from District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma filed. ds	,			
4-17-69	Bond on Removal, filed. Gulf Steel Corporation, now Ferro Union Corporation, principal and Oklahoma Surety Company, surety in				
4-17-69	the sum of \$500.00. ds Clerk's Certificate, filed. ds				
4-17-69	Notice of filing of petition and bond for removal, filed. ds				
4-21-69	Special appearance and motion toddismiss of defendant, filed. ds				
4-21-69	Brief in support of defendant's special appearance and motion to dismiss, filed. ds				
4-23-69	Ordered by the Court that the plaintiff file a response, with brief to the defendant's motion to dismiss within 10 days from date. (Free Daugherty - Judge) b	r, ∍d			
5-2-69	Amendment to plaintiff's petition, filed. m				
5-2-69	Application for leave physically to amend original petition by	No.			
	interlineation, filed. m				
5-2-69::1	Response to defendant's special appearance and motion to dismiss, filed. m				
5-2-69	Brief in support of jurisdiction, filed. m				
5-5-69	Ordered by the Court that the plaintiff's application for leave to				
_	amend petition by interlineation is granted; and that the defendan is granted 10 days in which to file a reply brief in support of it motion to dismiss. (Fred Daugherty - Judge) b	t 1			
5-7-69	Defendant's additional brief in support of special appearance and motion to dismiss, filed. ds (Mailed to Judge Daugherty)				
5-16-69	Order filed on defendant's special appearance and motion to dismiss on insufficiency of service and lack of jurisdiction, case to be set for evidentiary hearing on the question of whether defendant was doing business in Oklahoma so as to authorize the exercise of general jurisdiction over it by this court. (Fred Daugherty-Judge)				
5-23-69	Letter from Richar W. Gable to Judge Daugherty in regard to consolidation of 69-C-64, 69-C-666 and 69-C-67, filed. b				
5-23-69	Copy of letter from Judge Daugherty to Richard W. Gable, that the parties file appropriate motions in each case for consideration of the Courts, and that the defendants special appearance and motion	n			
	to dismiss is withdrawn and defendants are entering a general appearance in this case. of (Fred Daugherty- Judge) b				
5-9-69 5-10 <b>-</b> 69	Joint motion for consolidation, filed. m (see Stipulation for extension of time in which to plead or answer until				
- 44	6-30-69, filed. m				
5 <b>-#</b> -69	Order filed, extending time until June 30th, 1969 whthin which for defendant to answer. (Fred Daugherty - Judge) b				
6-24-69	Deposition of David I. Plost, taken before Thomas G. Bloxom, CSR, filed. (\$82.00 pd by defendants) ds				
6-30-69 <b>7=10-69</b>	Answer to complaint, filed. m Order of 7-9-69, filed consolidating this Action with Civil Action				
	No. 69-C-67. (Allen E. Barrow - Judge) b copies mailed to counsel.				
8-19-69	Reply to plaintiff's motion to produce movies, filed. ds  Defendant's Interrogatories, filed. ds				